

REMARKS


The Office Action of September 6, 2006 has been received and its contents carefully considered.

The Office Action allows all of the claims except for claim 23, which the Office Action rejects for indefiniteness because it depends from now-cancelled claim 4. The present Amendment responds to this rejection by placing claim 23 in independent form (that is, by revising claim 23 to include the subject matter previously recited in claim 4). It is respectfully submitted that claim 23 is now suitably definite under the second paragraph of 35 USC 112.

An additional claim fee of \$100 is being submitted concurrently due to the addition of another independent claim in excess of three.

Since the prior art does not suggest the recitation in claim 23 of "shining light generated by a light source onto the spatial light modulator, detecting the light, integrating the detected light, and changing the intensity of the light generated by the light source when the integrated light reaches a predetermined value," it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the application is therefore respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



L. Allen Wood - Reg. No. 28,134 (the Applicant)
RABIN & BERDO, P.C.
Telephone: 202-326-0222
Telefax: 202-408-0924
CUSTOMER NO. 23995